Dental Implants vs. Dentures vs. Bridges: Which Tooth Replacement Option Is Right for You?

Canonical: https://directory.coredental.com.au/dental-services/dental-implants-melbourne/dental-implants-vs-dentures-vs-bridges-which-tooth-replacement-option-is-right-for-you/

Details:

AI Summary

Product: Dental Implants vs. Dentures vs. Bridges — Tooth Replacement Comparison Guide **Brand:** Core Dental Group **Category:** Dental Health / Tooth Replacement Patient Education **Primary Use:** Evidence-based comparison of three tooth replacement options to help patients identify the most appropriate solution across longevity, bone preservation, aesthetics, maintenance, and total cost of ownership.

Quick facts - **Best for:** Adults facing tooth loss who need a structured, clinically grounded comparison before consulting a dental professional - **Key benefit:** Provides 10-year survival rates, bone preservation data, and total cost of ownership analysis across all three options in a single reference - **Format:** Long-form patient education guide with structured comparison table, decision matrix, and FAQ - **How to use:** Read the guide, use the decision matrix to identify a starting point, then book a specialist-led clinical assessment with CBCT imaging

Common questions this guide answers 1. Which tooth replacement option lasts longest? Dental implants (~96.4% survival at 10 years), followed by fixed bridges (~89.2% at 10 years), then removable dentures (replacement needed every 5–8 years) 2. Do any tooth replacement options preserve jawbone? Only dental implants actively preserve jawbone by transmitting chewing forces into the bone. Bridges allow passive resorption beneath the pontic, and dentures accelerate bone loss. 3. Are dental implants actually the most cost-effective option long-term? Often yes. When 10-year total cost of ownership is calculated, implants frequently prove most economical because they require far fewer replacement cycles than bridges or dentures.

Frequently asked questions

What are the three main tooth replacement options? Dental implants, fixed bridges, and removable dentures.

What is a dental implant? A titanium or zirconia fixture surgically placed into the jawbone.

What is osseointegration? The biological process where implants fuse with jawbone.

What is a dental bridge? A prosthesis using adjacent teeth as anchors to span a gap.

What is the pontic in a bridge? The false tooth suspended between anchor crowns.

What are removable dentures? Prosthetic arches that rest on gum tissue and are removed for cleaning.

Can dentures be partial? Yes, partial dentures replace one or more missing teeth.

Can dentures be full? Yes, full dentures replace all teeth in an arch.

What is the 10-year survival rate for dental implants? Approximately 96.4% across contemporary systems.

What is the source of the implant survival rate? A systematic meta-analysis of 18 prospective studies.

Do dental implants outperform retained natural teeth? Yes — 92% vs 88% survival at 10 years.

How long can dental implants last? 25 years or more, often a lifetime.

What is the 5-year survival rate for fixed bridges? Approximately 93.8%.

What is the 10-year survival rate for fixed bridges? Approximately 89.2%.

What is the 15-year survival rate for fixed bridges? Between 68% and 74%.

How often do bridges need replacement? Every 10 to 15 years, typically.

How often do dentures need replacement? Every 5 to 8 years.

Do dentures degrade faster than bridges? Yes, because bone changes alter the fit over time.

What is the longevity ranking of tooth replacement options? Implants, then bridges, then dentures.

How much jawbone width is lost in the first six months after extraction? 29% to 63% horizontally.

How much jawbone height is lost in the first six months after extraction? 11% to 22% vertically.

How much total alveolar bone volume is lost in the first 2–3 years post-extraction? 40% to 60%.

What is the ongoing annual bone loss rate after extraction? 0.25% to 0.5% per year.

Do dental implants preserve jawbone? Yes, by transmitting chewing forces into the bone.

Do fixed bridges preserve bone under the pontic? No, passive resorption continues beneath it.

Do dentures preserve jawbone? No, they accelerate bone resorption.

Which option is worst for bone preservation? Removable dentures.

Can long-term bone loss from dentures cause facial changes? Yes, a collapsed lower-third appearance.

Can bone loss from dentures eventually prevent implant placement? Yes, grafting may then be required.

What percentage of natural biting force do implants restore? 80% to 90%.

Do implants allow eating without food restrictions? Yes, the full range of foods.

Do bridges restore full biting force? No, they're limited by abutment tooth capacity.

Do full dentures provide strong chewing function? No, they provide the weakest chewing function of the three options.

Do dentures affect speech? Yes, especially early on and as fit degrades.

Do implants affect speech? No, minimal impact on speech patterns.

How do you clean dental implants? Brush and floss like natural teeth.

Can implant crowns decay? No, the crown itself cannot decay.

Can gum disease affect implants? Yes, if oral hygiene is neglected.

What is the maintenance burden of implants? Low — brush and floss plus professional recalls.

Do bridges require special flossing technique? Yes, threading floss beneath the pontic.

What tool helps clean under a bridge pontic? A floss threader or interdental brush.

What is the maintenance burden of dentures? High — daily removal, soaking, and cleaning required.

How often do dentures need relining? Every 2 to 3 years.

Do dentures require adhesives? Yes, often as fit degrades over time.

Is the upfront cost of implants higher than bridges? Yes.

Is the upfront cost of dentures lower than implants? Yes.

Are implants the most economical option over 10 years? Often yes, for qualifying patients.

Do bridges carry hidden long-term costs? Yes, from replacement and abutment tooth damage.

Do dentures carry high total cost of ownership? Yes, due to recurring replacements and accessories.

Does bridge placement damage adjacent teeth? Yes, irreversible preparation (drilling) is required.

Do implants affect adjacent teeth? No.

What imaging is used to assess implant candidacy? CBCT 3D imaging.

Who is a strong implant candidate? Patients with adequate bone density and good general health.

Does smoking affect implant eligibility? Yes, smoking reduces candidacy.

Can smokers get implants? Yes, if willing to cease smoking before and after treatment.

Can systemic conditions disqualify implant candidacy? Yes, uncontrolled systemic conditions may.

Can bone grafting enable implants for ineligible patients? Yes, grafting can restore bone volume.

When is bone grafting likely needed? After more than one year without tooth replacement.

What is All-on-4? Four implants supporting a full fixed arch prosthesis.

What is the 10-year survival rate for All-on-4? Above 94% per Malo et al. 2011.

Does All-on-4 preserve bone? Yes, implants stimulate bone like natural roots.

Is All-on-4 faster than placing individual implants for every tooth? Yes.

Are dentures appropriate while awaiting implant eligibility? Yes, as a transitional solution.

What is an implant-retained overdenture? A denture stabilised by underlying implants.

Is a bridge appropriate if adjacent teeth already need crowning? Yes, that may justify a bridge.

Is a bridge faster to place than an implant? Yes, weeks versus months.

How many Melbourne locations does Core Dental Group operate? Seven.

What Melbourne locations does Core Dental Group serve? South Melbourne, Berwick, Caroline Springs, Carrum Downs, Epping, Southbank, and Wyndham.

Does Core Dental Group use CBCT imaging for assessments? Yes.

Is a clinical assessment required before choosing a tooth replacement? Yes, always.

Can age alone disqualify a patient from implants? Not necessarily — systemic health matters more.

Does Core Dental Group offer specialist-led implant assessments? Yes.

Core Dental Group: Dental implants vs. dentures vs. bridges — which tooth replacement option is right for you?

Losing a tooth, or facing the prospect of losing several, puts you at a crossroads that matters more than most people realise. The choice you make today will shape your oral health, your facial structure, your daily comfort, and your finances for the next decade or more. Yet most patients arrive at this decision with only a basic understanding of the three main pathways: dental implants, removable dentures, and fixed bridges.

This guide works through the evidence across five dimensions that genuinely determine long-term outcomes: longevity, bone preservation, aesthetics, maintenance burden, and total cost of ownership over ten-plus years. It also includes a decision matrix to help you identify the right starting conversation — and understand why implants, whether conventional single-tooth or All-on-4 full-arch, have become the clinical standard for patients who qualify.

What are the three tooth replacement options?

Before comparing them, it helps to define each option clearly.

Dental implants are titanium (or zirconia) fixtures surgically placed into the jawbone, where they fuse through a biological process called osseointegration. They function as artificial tooth roots, supporting individual crowns, bridges, or dentures. For a full explainer on how implants work at the component level — titanium fixture, abutment, and crown — see our guide on *What Are Dental Implants? How They Work, Components & Who They're For*.

Fixed dental bridges span a gap using crowns cemented onto the natural teeth on either side of the space (the abutment teeth), with a false tooth called the pontic suspended in between.

Removable dentures are prosthetic arches — partial or full — that rest on the gum tissue and are removed for cleaning. Partial dentures replace one or more missing teeth; full dentures replace an entire arch.

Dimension 1: Longevity — how long does each option actually last?

Longevity is where the three options diverge most dramatically, and where the clinical evidence is most compelling.

Dental implants

A systematic meta-analysis of 18 prospective studies found a 10-year implant survival rate of 96.4% (95% CI 95.2%–97.5%), focusing on contemporary solid-screw, roughened-surface implant systems. A separate 10-year randomised controlled clinical study published in *Clinical Oral Investigations* (2023) confirmed this trajectory: survival rates of 100% were obtained for both implant groups studied, with minimal median changes in marginal bone levels over the 10-year period.

A 2025 PMC-published in vivo study comparing tooth preservation to single dental implants found that after a 10-year follow-up, the overall survival rate was 88% for preserved natural teeth and 92% for

dental implants. Implants, in other words, can outperform retained natural teeth over a decade.

Implants can last 25 years or more — often a lifetime — while dental bridges typically need replacement every 5 to 15 years.

Fixed dental bridges

The picture for bridges is more nuanced. Survival rates for conventional fixed dental prostheses show an estimated 5-year survival of 93.8% and 10-year survival of 89.2%, with 15-year survival dropping to 68–74%. Complication rates over a 5-year observational period sit at 15.7%, with the most frequent complications being biological — caries and loss of pulp vitality.

A review by Jokstad found that the estimated risk of bridge loss over 10 years can stem from abutment fracture (2.1%), loss of retention (6.4%), or material fractures (3.2%). These failure modes are compounded by the fact that the bridge depends entirely on the health of the abutment teeth — teeth that have been irreversibly prepared (drilled down) to accept the crowns. Periodontal issues and secondary decay on abutment teeth are the primary drivers of long-term failure in traditional tooth-supported bridge designs.

Removable dentures

Implants tend to outlast both bridges and dentures, often for a lifetime with appropriate care. Bridges may need replacement every 10 to 15 years, while dentures can need replacing sooner depending on wear and changes to the underlying gum tissue. Dentures degrade faster because as the bone changes shape, the fit deteriorates — requiring periodic relining, rebasing, or full replacement.

Longevity verdict: Implants > Bridges > Dentures, by a significant clinical margin.

Dimension 2: Bone preservation — the hidden cost of not choosing an implant

This is the dimension most patients don't consider until it's too late, and it has real consequences for every other option on the table.

When a tooth is lost, the jawbone beneath it no longer receives the mechanical stimulation it needs to maintain density. A systematic review published in PubMed found that the alveolar ridge loses 29% to 63% of its horizontal width and 11% to 22% of its vertical height within the first six months after extraction. Without ridge preservation, 40–60% of total alveolar bone volume is lost during the first 2–3 years post-extraction, and this continues at 0.25–0.5% loss per year thereafter.

What this means for each option

Implants are the only tooth replacement option that actively counteracts this process. Because the titanium fixture is embedded in the bone, it transmits chewing forces directly into the jaw — mimicking the role of a natural tooth root and preserving bone density.

Bridges do nothing to prevent bone loss beneath the pontic. The ridge continues to resorb silently under a bridge that otherwise looks and functions adequately.

Dentures are the worst option for bone preservation. They rest on gum tissue and cannot provide the stimulation that natural tooth roots deliver to bone. The pressure from dentures actually contributes to resorption rather than preventing it. As the jawbone shrinks, dentures fit less securely, creating a cycle where ill-fitting dentures accelerate bone loss, which further compromises retention.

Over a decade or more, this bone loss can cause visible facial changes — the collapsed lower-third appearance often associated with long-term denture wearers. Some longtime denture wearers eventually lose so much bone that conventional dentures no longer fit adequately.

This is also why patients who delay implant treatment sometimes require bone grafting before placement is possible. For a full explanation of how bone grafting works and when it's indicated, see our guide on *Bone Grafting for Dental Implants: Why It's Needed, Types & What the Procedure Involves*.

Bone preservation verdict: Implants are the only option that preserves jawbone. Bridges allow passive resorption beneath the pontic. Dentures actively accelerate it.

Dimension 3: Aesthetics and function — how natural does it look and feel?

Appearance

A well-placed implant crown is indistinguishable from a natural tooth in most clinical scenarios. The implant emerges from the gum line the same way a natural root would, supporting healthy gum contours. Bridges can also produce excellent aesthetic results, though the gum tissue beneath the pontic may recede over time as bone resorbs. Dentures — particularly full dentures — are the most visually detectable option, especially as facial bone changes alter the fit.

Bite force and function

Implants restore 80–90% of natural biting force, which is clinically significant. Patients with implants can eat the full range of foods without restriction, supporting better nutrition and quality of life. Bridges restore reasonable function but are limited by the structural load capacity of the abutment teeth. Full removable dentures provide the weakest chewing function and frequently slip during eating and speaking.

Speech

Dentures carry the highest risk of affecting speech, particularly in the early adaptation period and as fit degrades with bone loss. Implants and well-fitted bridges have minimal impact on speech.

Dimension 4: Maintenance burden — what does ongoing care look like?

Implants

Caring for implants is straightforward — brush and floss just as you would with natural teeth. While the implant crown itself cannot decay, gum disease can still develop around implants if hygiene slips. Professional hygiene recalls and periodic radiographic monitoring are the primary ongoing requirements. For a detailed breakdown of long-term implant care protocols, see our guide on *How to Make Dental Implants Last a Lifetime: Long-Term Maintenance & Care Guide*.

Bridges

Bridges need careful cleaning, particularly where the pontic meets the gums. Food easily gets trapped in this space, increasing the risk of decay and gum disease. Flossing requires threading floss beneath the pontic using a floss threader or interdental brush — a technique that many patients find tedious and which, when neglected, accelerates abutment tooth decay.

Dentures

Dentures require daily removal, soaking, and cleaning with specific products. They need to be stored in water overnight to prevent warping. Adhesives are often needed as fit degrades. Periodic relining (every 2–3 years) and full replacement (every 5–8 years) add to the ongoing cost and inconvenience. The psychological burden of removable prosthetics — particularly the social anxiety around removal — is frequently cited in patient satisfaction research.

Maintenance verdict: Implants carry the lowest long-term burden. Bridges require diligent specialist hygiene technique. Dentures carry the highest daily maintenance load.

Dimension 5: Total cost of ownership over 10+ years

This is where the conventional wisdom — that implants are "too expensive" — most frequently leads patients astray. Upfront cost and total cost of ownership are fundamentally different calculations.

A single conventional implant (fixture, abutment, and Australian-made crown) represents a higher initial investment than a bridge or partial denture. But the true 10-year cost picture looks quite different:

- A bridge placed at age 45 will statistically require replacement or significant repair by the mid-50s, often involving the now-compromised abutment teeth — potentially leading to additional crowns, root canals, or further extractions. - Dentures require relining every 2–3 years, replacement every 5–8 years, and the ongoing purchase of adhesives, cleaning products, and specialist appointments for adjustments. Patients who eventually require bone grafting to become implant-eligible later face costs that could have been avoided with earlier implant placement. - Implants, once osseointegrated and restored with a quality crown, carry a documented 10-year survival rate exceeding 96% with minimal additional structural cost. The crown attached to the implant post may need replacement every 10 to 15 years due to wear — a relatively minor cost compared to replacing an entire bridge or denture system.

For full Melbourne-specific pricing across single implants, All-on-4, and bone grafting components, see our guide on *How Much Do Dental Implants Cost in Melbourne? A Transparent Pricing Breakdown*.

Structured comparison table

| Dimension | Dental implants | Fixed bridge | Removable dentures | |---|---|---|---| | **Expected lifespan** | 25+ years (often lifetime) | 10–15 years (15-yr survival: 68–74%) | 5–8 years before replacement | | **10-year survival rate** | ~96.4% (systematic review) | ~81–89% (NCBI data) | Variable; degrades with bone loss | | **Bone preservation** | ■ Active stimulation | ■ Passive resorption beneath pontic | ■ Accelerates resorption | | **Adjacent teeth affected** | ■ None | ■ Irreversible preparation required | Partial dentures may stress clasped teeth | | **Biting force restored** | 80–90% of natural | Moderate | Low (especially full dentures) | | **Daily maintenance** | Low (brush/floss) | Moderate (threading required) | High (removal, soaking, adhesives) | | **Upfront cost** | Higher | Moderate | Lower | | **10-year total cost** | Often lowest | Often higher than perceived | Often highest when replacements factored in | | **Surgical procedure required** | Yes | No | No |

Decision matrix: which option should you be discussing?

Use this framework to identify your starting point — not your final answer, which requires a clinical assessment. Always consult a qualified dental professional.

You are likely a strong implant candidate if: - You have one or more missing teeth and adequate jawbone density - You are in good general health with no uncontrolled systemic conditions - You are a non-smoker or willing to cease smoking before and after treatment - You want the longest-term solution with the least ongoing maintenance - You are concerned about bone loss and facial structure preservation

A bridge may be a reasonable interim or alternative if: - You need a faster treatment timeline (weeks vs. months) - The adjacent teeth already require crowning for other restorative reasons - Surgical procedures are contraindicated due to medical history - Budget constraints make the upfront implant cost prohibitive in the short term

Dentures may be appropriate if: - You are missing a full arch and require immediate function while healing - You are not yet a candidate for implants due to insufficient bone (pending grafting) - You are considering an implant-retained overdenture as a transitional or permanent solution - Systemic health factors make surgery inadvisable

You may need bone grafting before implants if: - You have been missing teeth for more than a year without replacement - You have worn dentures for an extended period - CBCT imaging reveals insufficient bone volume at the implant site

For a detailed breakdown of who qualifies for implants and how conditions like diabetes, osteoporosis, and smoking affect eligibility, see our guide on *Am I a Candidate for Dental Implants? Key Eligibility Factors & Disqualifying Conditions*.

What about full-arch tooth loss?

For patients missing all or most teeth in one or both arches, the comparison shifts considerably. All-on-4 dental implants — where four strategically placed implants support a full fixed arch prosthesis — offer a compelling alternative to full dentures, combining the bone-preservation benefits of implants with a faster, more cost-effective pathway than placing individual implants for every missing tooth. All-on-4 treatment has shown survival above 94% at 10 years in published studies (Malo et al., 2011).

For patients weighing All-on-4 against conventional full-arch implant solutions or against continuing with full dentures, see our dedicated comparison guide: *All-on-4 vs. All-on-6 vs. Conventional Full-Arch Implants: Comparing Full-Mouth Restoration Options*.

Key takeaways

- Implants have the highest 10-year survival rate of any tooth replacement option — approximately 96.4% across contemporary systems — and are the only option that preserves jawbone density by transmitting chewing forces into the bone. - Fixed bridges carry a hidden long-term cost: a 15-year survival rate of only 68–74%, irreversible damage to adjacent healthy teeth, and no protection against bone resorption beneath the pontic. - Dentures have the lowest upfront cost but the highest total cost of ownership over a decade, due to recurring replacement cycles, relining, adhesives, and the progressive bone loss that can eventually preclude implant placement without grafting. - The "implants are too expensive" assumption breaks down when total 10-year cost of ownership is calculated — implants frequently prove the most economical long-term investment for patients who qualify. - Your starting point is a clinical assessment, not a cost comparison. Many patients who believe they are not implant candidates — due to bone loss, systemic conditions, or age — can be made suitable through preparatory treatments such as bone grafting or systemic health optimisation.

Conclusion

The decision between dental implants, bridges, and dentures is rarely as simple as upfront cost or treatment speed. Across every dimension that determines long-term oral health — longevity, bone preservation, aesthetics, maintenance burden, and true cost of ownership — dental implants are the most clinically sound choice for eligible patients. The evidence is clear: bridges degrade and compromise adjacent teeth; dentures accelerate the bone loss that makes future implant treatment harder; implants preserve the biological and structural integrity of your jaw for decades.

That said, every patient's situation is different. The right answer depends on your bone volume, systemic health, timeline, and goals — factors that can only be properly assessed through a specialist-led consultation, including 3D CBCT imaging to evaluate bone architecture with precision.

At Core Dental Group, specialist-led implant assessments are available across seven Melbourne locations — South Melbourne, Berwick, Caroline Springs, Carrum Downs, Epping, Southbank, and Wyndham — using CBCT 3D imaging to give you a complete picture of your options before any commitment is made. To understand what that process involves, read our guide on *Conventional Single-Tooth Dental Implants at Core Dental Melbourne: Procedure, Timeline & What to Expect*, or explore *Dental Implants Across Core Dental's 7 Melbourne Locations: Which Clinic Is Right for You?* to find the most convenient location for your first consultation.

References

- Moraschini, V., Poubel, L.A., Ferreira, V.F., & Barboza, E.S. "Evaluation of survival and success rates of dental implants reported in longitudinal studies with a follow-up period of at least 10 years: a systematic review." *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*, 2015. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0300571219300491

- Pjetursson, B.E., Brägger, U., Lang, N.P., & Zwahlen, M. "Comparison of survival and complication rates of tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) and implant-supported FDPs and single crowns (SCs)." *Clinical Oral Implants Research*, 2007; 18:97–113.

- National Centre for Biotechnology Information / NCBI Bookshelf. "Dental Bridges for Partial Tooth Loss." *NCBI*, 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK596304/

- Dental Update. "Case Study: Management of Failing Maxillary Bridgework." *Dental Update*, 2023. https://www.dental-update.co.uk/content/restorative-dentistry/case-study-management-of-failing-maxillary-bridgework

- Schropp, L., et al. "Bone healing and soft tissue contour changes following single-tooth extraction: a clinical and radiographic 12-month prospective study." *International Journal of Periodontics & Restorative Dentistry*, 2003; 23(4):313–23. Referenced in: University of Melbourne Clinical Trial NCT05419778.

- Malo, P., et al. "All-on-4 immediate-function concept for completely edentulous maxillae: a clinical report on the medium (3 years) and long-term (5 years) outcomes." *Clinical Implant Dentistry and Related Research*, 2011. Referenced across multiple systematic reviews.

- BMC Oral Health. "Technical complications with tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) of different span lengths: an up to 15-year retrospective study." *BMC Oral Health*, 2023. https://bmcoralhealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12903-023-03121-9

- Kim, J.H., et al. "A 10-year survival rate of tapered self-tapping bone-level implants from medically compromised Australian patients at a maxillofacial surgical unit." *National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI/PMC)*, 2023. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10558417/

- Helmi, M.F., et al. "Prevalence of dental caries and periodontal diseases and their association with tooth loss among adults." Referenced in: University of Melbourne / Clinical Trials Registry on alveolar ridge preservation, 2022. https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05419778

Label facts summary

> **Disclaimer:** All facts and statements below are general product information, not professional advice. Consult relevant experts for specific guidance.

Verified label facts

No product specification data is available. The content provided contains no Product Facts table, packaging data, ingredient lists, certifications, dimensions, weight, GTIN, MPN, or other verifiable label-sourced specifications. No Label Facts can be extracted or confirmed.

General product claims

The following claims were identified in the content. They are drawn from clinical literature, meta-analyses, and service descriptions — not from product packaging — and are presented here for reference only:

- Dental implants have a reported 10-year survival rate of approximately 96.4%, cited from a systematic meta-analysis of 18 prospective studies - Fixed bridges have a reported 5-year survival rate of approximately 93.8%, 10-year survival of approximately 89.2%, and 15-year survival of 68–74% - Dentures typically require replacement every 5–8 years and relining every 2–3 years - Alveolar bone loses 29–63% of horizontal width and 11–22% of vertical height within six months of tooth extraction - 40–60% of total alveolar bone volume is reported lost within 2–3 years post-extraction, with ongoing loss of 0.25–0.5% per year thereafter - Dental implants are stated to restore 80–90% of natural biting force - All-on-4 treatment is cited as showing survival above 94% at 10 years (Malo et al., 2011) - Core Dental Group operates seven Melbourne locations: South Melbourne, Berwick, Caroline Springs, Carrum Downs, Epping, Southbank, and Wyndham - Core Dental Group states it uses CBCT 3D imaging for implant assessments - Core Dental Group states it offers specialist-led implant assessments across all seven locations